IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)		
	Plaintiff,) 8:16CR204)
	vs.) DETENTION ORDER
MA	ARIA RIVAS,	
	Defendant.	'
A.	Order For Detention After waiving a detention hearing purs Act on July 13, 2016, the Court orders to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i).	suant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail Reform the above-named defendant detained pursuant
B.	conditions will reasonably assured X By clear and convincing evidence	
C.	contained in the Pretrial Services Rep X (1) Nature and circumstances X (a) The crime: the methamphetamine carries a maximum (b) The offense is a crime (c) The offense involve	of the offense charged: e possession with intent to distribute (Count II) in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) sentence of twenty years imprisonment. me of violence.
	X (3) The history and characteris (a) General Factors: The defend may affect X The defend X The defend X The defend ties. Past condu X The defend The defend The defend The defend The defend The defend Court proce (b) At the time of the cu Probation Parole	dant appears to have a mental condition which whether the defendant will appear. dant has no family ties in the area. dant has no steady employment. dant has no substantial financial resources. dant does not have any significant community act of the defendant: dant has a history relating to drug abuse. dant has a history relating to alcohol abuse. dant has a significant prior criminal record. dant has a prior record of failure to appear at

DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

(c) Other Factors:		
	endant is an illegal alien and is subject to	
deportation		
	endant is a legal alien and will be subject to	
deportation X The Bure	on if convicted. eau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement	
(BICE) ha	as placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal.	
Other:	to placed a detailler with the e.e. Marchai.	
		
X (4) The nature and seriou	sness of the danger posed by the defendant's	
	ne nature of the charges in the Indictment and the	
criminal history of the de	rendant.	
X (5) Rebuttable Presumptions		
In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied		
on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)		
which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: X (a) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably		
	rance of the defendant as required and the safety	
	n and the community because the Court finds that	
the crime involves	: · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	ne of violence; or	
	ffense for which the maximum penalty is life	
	sonment or death; or atrolled substance violation which has a maximum	
	Ity of 10 years or more; or	
	ony after the defendant had been convicted of two	
	ore prior offenses described in (1) through (3)	
	e, <u>and</u> the defendant has a prior conviction for one	
	e crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above which	
	s than five years old and which was committed the defendant was on pretrial release.	
<u>X</u> (b) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably		
assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safet		
of the community because the Court finds that there is probab		
cause to believe:	the defendant has committed a controlled	
	the defendant has committed a controlled tance violation which has a maximum penalty of	
	ears or more.	
	the defendant has committed an offense under 18	
\ \ U.S.(C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and in	
	on to any crime of violence, including a crime of	
	nce, which provides for an enhanced punishment	
	mmitted by the use of a deadly or dangerous	
weap	oon or device).	

D. Additional Directives

- Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

 1. The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and
 - The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation 2. with counsel; and

DETENTION ORDER - Page 3

3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: July 13, 2016.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken United States Magistrate Judge